

LOOP NEAR-RINGS AND UNIQUE DECOMPOSITIONS OF H-SPACES

DAMIR FRANETIĆ AND PETAR PAVEŠIĆ

ABSTRACT. For every H-space X the set of homotopy classes $[X, X]$ possesses a natural algebraic structure of a loop near-ring. Albeit one cannot say much about general loop near-rings, it turns out that those that arise from H-spaces are sufficiently close to rings to have a viable Krull–Schmidt type decomposition theory, which is then reflected into decomposition results of H-spaces. In the paper we develop the algebraic theory of local loop near-rings and derive an algebraic characterization of indecomposable and strongly indecomposable H-spaces. As a consequence, we obtain unique decomposition theorems for products of H-spaces. In particular, we are able to treat certain infinite products of H-spaces, thanks to a recent breakthrough in the Krull–Schmidt theory for infinite products. Finally, we show that indecomposable finite p -local H-spaces are automatically strongly indecomposable, which leads to an easy alternative proof of classical unique decomposition theorems of Wilkerson and Gray.

INTRODUCTION

In this paper we discuss relations between unique decomposition theorems in algebra and homotopy theory. Unique decomposition theorems usually state that sum or product decompositions (depending on the category), whose factors are strongly indecomposable, are essentially unique. The standard algebraic example is the Krull–Schmidt–Remak–Azumaya theorem. In its modern form the theorem states that any decomposition of an R -module into a direct sum of indecomposable modules is unique, provided that the endomorphism rings of the summands are local rings (see [8, theorem 2.12]). Modules with local endomorphism rings are said to be *strongly indecomposable* and they play a pivotal role in the study of cancellation and unique decomposition of modules. For example, every indecomposable module of finite length is strongly indecomposable which implies the classical Krull–Schmidt theorem (see [8, lemma 2.21 and corollary 2.23]).

Similar results on unique decompositions have been obtained by P. Freyd [11] and H. Margolis [17] in stable homotopy category, and by C. Wilkerson [22] and B. Gray [13] in unstable homotopy category. However, even when their arguments closely parallel standard algebraic approach, the above authors choose to rely on specific properties of topological spaces, and avoid reference to purely algebraic results. In [20] the second author considered factorizations in stable homotopy category from the algebraic viewpoint. He first pointed out that the endomorphism rings of stable

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55P45, 16Y30.

Key words and phrases. H-space, near-ring, algebraic loop, idempotent, strongly indecomposable space, Krull–Schmidt–Remak–Azumaya theorem.

The second author was partly supported by the Slovenian Research Agency grant P1-0292-0101, project No. J1-6721-0101.

p -complete spectra are finite $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -algebras, and those are known to be semiperfect (see [16, example 23.3]). The unique decomposition for finite p -complete spectra then follows immediately because the Krull–Schmidt–Remak–Azumaya theorem holds for modules whose endomorphism ring is semiperfect.

The p -local case is more difficult, but Pavešić was able to show (see [20, theorem 2.1]) that the endomorphism rings of finite p -local spectra are also semiperfect rings, which implies that finite p -local spectra decompose uniquely. The efficiency of the algebraic approach motivated our attempt to derive unique decomposition theorems in unstable homotopy category. The leading idea is that the set $[X, X]$ of homotopy classes of self-maps of X should play a role in the decomposition theory of H-spaces that is analogous to the role of endomorphism rings in the decomposition of modules. However, the situation is more complicated because of the fact that for a general H-space X the set $[X, X]$ is not a ring but possesses only the much weaker structure of a loop near-ring. Thus we were forced to develop first a notion of localness for loop near-rings, and then to characterize H-spaces that are strongly indecomposable and appear as prime factors in unique decompositions. One of the important advantages of our approach is that there are stronger versions of the Krull–Schmidt–Remak–Azumaya theorem that can be used to derive new decomposition theorems. In particular a recently proven result about unique decompositions of infinite products of modules led to new unique decomposition theorems for infinite products of H-spaces, cf. theorems 2.11 and 2.13 below.

The paper is organized as follows. In §1 we study the set of homotopy classes $\text{End}(X) := [X, X]$ for a connected H-space X and show that it has the algebraic structure of a loop near-ring. Since this structure is not well-known, we then recall some basic facts about loop near-rings, generalize the concept of localness to loop near-rings and prove the most relevant results. More algebraic details are developed in a forthcoming paper. In §2 we define strongly indecomposable H-spaces and show that a decomposition of an H-space as a product of strongly indecomposable factors is essentially unique. Finally, in §3 we prove that for finite, p -local H-spaces indecomposable implies strongly indecomposable, which in turn yields a unique decomposition theorem for p -local H-spaces.

Our approach can be almost directly dualized to simply-connected coH-spaces and connective CW-spectra. See remarks 2.2 and 3.4. All spaces under consideration are assumed to be pointed and to have the homotopy type of a connected CW-complex. Maps and homotopies are base-point preserving, but we omit the base points from the notation and do not distinguish between a map and its homotopy class.

1. LOOPS AND LOOP NEAR-RINGS

If X is an H-space then the set $[X, X]$ of homotopy classes of self-maps admits two natural binary operations. The first - *multiplication* - is induced by the composition fg of maps $f, g: X \rightarrow X$: it is associative with the identity map $\mathbf{1}_X: X \rightarrow X$ acting as the neutral element, so the resulting algebraic structure $([X, X], \cdot)$ is a monoid. The second operation - *addition* - is induced by the H-structure: it is in general neither commutative nor associative, and the constant map $\mathbf{0}_X: X \rightarrow X$ represents the neutral element. If the H-space X is connected, then $([X, X], +)$ is a so called (algebraic) loop (see [24, theorem 1.3.1]). Moreover, addition and composition on $[X, X]$ are related by right distributivity, i.e. $(f + g)h = fh + gh$ holds for all

$f, g, h: X \rightarrow X$. The resulting algebraic structure $\text{End}(X) := ([X, X], +, \cdot)$ is called a *(right) loop near-ring*, a structure that was first introduced by Ramakotaiah [21]. We are not aware of any papers on loop near-rings that arise in topology. However, if X is an H-group, then $\text{End}(X)$ is a near-ring, and this stronger structure has already been studied by Curjel [7], and more recently by Baues [3] and others.

1.1. Basic properties. The definition of a loop near-ring is similar to that of a ring but it lacks some important ingredients: addition is not required to be commutative nor associative, and only one of the distributivity laws is present. The resulting structure is often very different from a ring but nevertheless, a surprising number of concepts and facts from ring theory can be suitably extended to this more general setting. We recall the definitions and state relevant results.

Definition 1.1. An *(Algebraic) loop* consists of a set G equipped with a binary operation $+$ satisfying the following properties:

- for every $a, b \in G$ the equations $a + x = b$ and $y + a = b$ have unique solutions $x, y \in G$;
- there exists a two-sided zero, i.e. an element $0 \in G$ such that $0 + a = a + 0 = a$ for all $a \in G$.

A loop is essentially a ‘non-associative group’. Existence of unique solutions to equations implies that left and right cancellation laws hold in a loop. We can define the operations of *left* and *right difference* \setminus and \diagup where $x = a \setminus b$ is the unique solution of the equation $a + x = b$, and $y = b \diagup a$ is the unique solution of the equation $y + a = b$.

A *loop homomorphism* is a function $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ between loops G and H such that $\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ for all $a, b \in G$. Since $\phi(0) = \phi(0) + \phi(0)$, the cancellation in H gives $\phi(0) = 0$. Similarly we get $\phi(a \setminus b) = \phi(a) \setminus \phi(b)$ and $\phi(a \diagup b) = \phi(a) \diagup \phi(b)$.

As in the theory of groups we can define two kinds of subobjects, subloops and normal subloops. A subset of a loop G is a *subloop* of G if it is closed with respect to the addition and both difference operations. A direct definition of a normal subloop is more complicated, as we must take into account the non-associativity of the addition: a subloop $K \leq G$ is a *normal subloop* if for all $a, b \in G$ we have

$$a + K = K + a, \quad (a + b) + K = a + (b + K) \quad \text{and} \quad (K + a) + b = K + (a + b).$$

We often use a slicker characterization: a subset of G is a subloop if it is the image of some loop homomorphism; it is a normal subloop if it is a kernel of some loop homomorphism. See [4, chapter IV] for a detailed treatment of these concepts.

Definition 1.2. A *(right) loop near-ring* $(N, +, \cdot)$ consists of a set N with two binary operations $+$ and \cdot such that:

- $(N, +)$ is a loop,
- (N, \cdot) is a monoid,
- multiplication \cdot is right distributive over addition $+$ and $n0 = 0$ holds for every $n \in N$.

If $(N, +)$ is a group, $(N, +, \cdot)$ is a *near-ring*.

We have slightly departed from the definition of a loop near-ring in [21] by requiring that there exists a neutral element for the multiplication, and that $N0 = 0$. This modification is motivated by the fact that $\text{End}(X)$ is always unital and the constant map 0 satisfies the property $0n = n0 = 0$. Note that $0n = 0$ follows from

the right-distributivity and cancellation, while the symmetric relation $n0 = 0$ in [21] characterizes the so-called zero-symmetric loop near-rings. Let us also remark that if X is a simply-connected coH-space then $\text{End}(X)$ turns out to be a left loop near-ring.

A generic example of a right near-ring is the near-ring $M(G)$ of *all* functions $f: G \rightarrow G$ from a group G to itself. Moreover, if G is only a loop then $M(G)$ is a loop near-ring [21, example 1.2]. The following topological examples are more relevant to our discussion.

Example 1.3. To present an example of a near-ring whose additive group is not commutative we first need the following general observation. Given an H-space X with the multiplication map μ , and an arbitrary space Z , the sum of maps $f, g: Z \rightarrow X$ is given by the composition $f + g := \mu(f \times g) \Delta$ as in the diagram

$$f + g: Z \xrightarrow{\Delta} Z \times Z \xrightarrow{f \times g} X \times X \xrightarrow{\mu} X$$

This operation is commutative for all spaces Z if and only if $p_1 + p_2 = p_2 + p_1$ holds for the two projections $p_1, p_2: X \times X \rightarrow X$ in $[X \times X, X]$. Indeed, one can directly check that $f + g = (p_1 + p_2)(f, g)$, and $g + f = (p_2 + p_1)(f, g)$, so if $p_1 + p_2 = p_2 + p_1$ then $f + g = g + f$ for every Z and every $f, g: Z \rightarrow X$.

A well-known example of an H-structure that is not homotopy commutative is given by the quaternion multiplication on the 3-sphere S^3 [14]. By the above remark it follows that $[S^3 \times S^3, S^3]$ is a non-abelian group, hence $\text{End}(S^3 \times S^3)$ is a (right) near-ring but not a ring.

Example 1.4. Similarly as in the previous example one can show that, given an H-space X , the addition on $[Z, X]$ is associative for all spaces Z if and only if the relation $p_1 + (p_2 + p_3) = (p_1 + p_2) + p_3$ holds for the three projections in $[X \times X \times X, X]$. The octonion multiplication on the sphere S^7 is a familiar example of an H-structure that is not homotopy associative [15], so the addition in $[S^7 \times S^7 \times S^7, S^7]$ is not associative. We conclude that $\text{End}(S^7 \times S^7 \times S^7)$ is not a near-ring but only a (right) loop near-ring.

Example 1.5. Our final example is a left loop near-ring induced by a coH-space structure. Every element $\gamma: S^6 \rightarrow S^3$ of order 3 in the group $\pi_6(S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/12$ is a coH-map, therefore its mapping cone $C := S^3 \cup_\gamma e^7$ is a coH-space. Ganea [12, proposition 4.1] has proved that C does not admit any associative coH-structures, so in particular the addition induced by the coH-structure in $[C, C \vee C \vee C]$ is not associative. It follows that $\text{End}(C \vee C \vee C)$ is a (left) loop near-ring but not a near-ring.

1.2. Local loop near-rings. The crucial ingredient in the proof of the Krull–Schmidt–Remak–Azumaya theorem is the assumption that there is a factorization of the given module as a direct sum of factors whose endomorphism rings are local. In order to extend this approach to factorizations of H-spaces we need a suitable definition of local loop near-rings. Local near-rings were introduced by Maxson in [18]. We use the characterization [18, theorem 2.8] to extend this concept to loop near-rings. A subloop $I \leq N$ is said to be an *N-subloop* if $NI \subseteq I$. The role of N -subloops in the theory of loop near-rings is analogous to that of ideals in rings.

Definition 1.6. A loop near-ring N is *local* if it has a unique maximal N -subloop $J \leq N$.

Let $U(N)$ denote the *group of units* of the loop near-ring N , that is to say, the group of invertible elements of the monoid (N, \cdot) . A function $\phi: N \rightarrow N'$ is a *homomorphism* of loop near-rings if $\phi(1) = 1$, $\phi(m + n) = \phi(m) + \phi(n)$, and $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$ hold for all $m, n \in N$. Clearly $\phi(0) = 0$, and, if $u \in U(N)$, then $\phi(u) \in U(N')$. A homomorphism is said to be *unit-reflecting* if the converse holds, i.e. if $\phi(n) \in U(N')$ implies $n \in U(N)$. One of the most remarkable properties of loop near-rings that arise in homotopy theory is that they come equipped with a unit-reflecting homomorphism into a ring (namely, with the representation into endomorphism of homotopy or homology groups, that is unit-reflecting as a consequence of the Whitehead theorem). It is important to observe that the image of such a homomorphism is always a subring of the codomain. The main properties of local loop-near rings are collected in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7.

- (i) In a local loop-near ring N the only idempotents are 0 and 1.
- (ii) A loop near-ring N is local if and only if $N \setminus U(N)$ is an N -subloop in N . Moreover, in this case $N \setminus U(N)$ is the unique maximal N -subloop.
- (iii) Let $\phi: N \rightarrow R$ be a non-trivial and unit-reflecting homomorphism from a loop-near ring N to a ring R . If N is local then $\text{im } \phi$ is a local subring of R . Conversely, if R is local, then N is a local loop near-ring.

Proof. (i) Let $e = e^2 \in N$ be an idempotent and write an element $n \in N$ as $n = y + ne$. Multiplying this equation by e from the right we get $ne = (y + ne)e = ye + ne$, hence $ye = 0$. Denote by $\text{Ann}(e)$ the *annihilator* of e , i.e. the subset of all $y \in N$ such that $ye = 0$. We have just seen that $N = \text{Ann}(e) + Ne$. Both subsets, $\text{Ann}(e)$ and Ne , are N -subloops in N (this is immediate for Ne , for $\text{Ann}(e)$ use the fact that N is zero-symmetric). Similarly as for unital rings, Zorn lemma implies that every proper N -subloop in N is contained in a maximal N -subloop, see [18, lemma 2.7]. Clearly, $\text{Ann}(e)$ and Ne cannot both be contained in the unique maximal N -subloop $J \subsetneq N$. Therefore, either $\text{Ann}(e) = N$ or $Ne = N$, which means that either $e = 0$ or $e = 1$.

(ii) Let N be local and let $J \subsetneq N$ be the unique maximal N -subloop. We claim that every $u \in N \setminus J$ has a left inverse. In fact, if $Nu \neq N$, then the N -subloop Nu is contained in J , hence $u \in J$. Therefore, for $u \in N \setminus J$ we have $Nu = N$, in particular $ku = 1$ for some $k \in N$. Observe that $k \in N \setminus J$ as well. In fact, we have the following chain of implications

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 \nearrow uk)u &= u \nearrow uku = u \nearrow u = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow 1 \nearrow uk &\text{ is not left invertible} \\
 \Rightarrow uk &\in N \setminus J \\
 \Rightarrow k &\in N \setminus J.
 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude $N \setminus J \subseteq U(N)$. The reverse inclusion $U(N) \subseteq N \setminus J$ is obvious, hence $J = N \setminus U(N)$, which is an N -subloop.

For the reverse implication assume that $N \setminus U(N)$ is an N -subloop. Since every proper N -subloop $I \subsetneq N$ is contained in $N \setminus U(N)$, $N \setminus U(N)$ is clearly the unique maximal N -subloop.

(iii) Call a subset $K \subseteq N$ an *ideal* if K is the kernel of some loop near-ring homomorphism $\psi: N \rightarrow N'$. Every ideal K is also an N -subloop. If N is local

with unique maximal N -subloop J , then $K \subseteq J$ and the quotient $N/K \cong \text{im } \psi$ has J/K as the unique maximal (N/K) -subloop. So, in particular, $\text{im } \phi$ is a local ring.

For the reverse implication, since ϕ is unit-reflecting, we have $\phi^{-1}(R \setminus U(R)) = N \setminus U(N)$. As R is a local ring $R \setminus U(R)$ is a left ideal of R by [16, theorem 19.1], therefore its preimage $N \setminus U(N)$ is an N -subloop of N , so by (ii) N is local. \square

2. UNIQUENESS OF DECOMPOSITIONS OF H-SPACES

The classical Krull–Schmidt–Remak–Azumaya theorem says that a factorization of a module as a direct sum of strongly indecomposable modules is essentially unique. In this section we use the theory of loop near-rings to prove an analogous result for product decompositions of H-spaces.

Given a space X every self map $f: X \rightarrow X$ induces endomorphisms $\pi_k(f) \in \text{End}(\pi_k(X))$ of the homotopy groups of X that can be combined to obtain the following function

$$\beta_X: \text{End}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{End}(\pi_k(X)), \quad f \mapsto f_{\#} = (\pi_1(f), \pi_2(f), \pi_3(f), \dots).$$

A loop near-ring homomorphism $\phi: N \rightarrow M$ is *idempotent-lifting* if, for every idempotent of the form $\phi(n) \in M$ there is an idempotent $e \in N$ such that $\phi(e) = \phi(n)$.

Proposition 2.1. *If X is an H-space then β_X is a unit-reflecting and idempotent-lifting homomorphism from a loop near-ring to a ring.*

Proof. We already know that $\text{End}(X)$ is a loop near-ring. All homotopy groups of an H-space are abelian so $\text{End}(\pi_k(X))$ are rings, hence the codomain of β_X is a direct product of rings. Moreover, β_X is a homomorphism of loop near-rings because $(f+g)_{\#} = f_{\#} + g_{\#}$ holds for every H-space X , while $(fg)_{\#} = f_{\#}g_{\#}$ by functoriality. To see that β_X is unit-reflecting let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be such that the induced homomorphism $\beta_X(f)$ is an isomorphism. Then, by the Whitehead theorem, f is a homotopy equivalence, i.e. a unit element of $\text{End}(X)$. Finally, if $\beta_X(f)$ is an idempotent, then by [10, proposition 3.2] there is a decomposition of X into a product of telescopes $\text{Tel}(f) \times \text{Tel}(f \frown \mathbf{1}_X)$. The first factor in this decomposition determines an idempotent $e: X \rightarrow \text{Tel}(f) \rightarrow X$ in $\text{End}(X)$ such that $\beta_X(e) = \beta_X(f)$, proving that β_X is idempotent-lifting. \square

Remark 2.2. All results of this section are easily dualized to simply-connected coH-spaces X . As in [10] one replaces $\pi_*(X)$ with singular homology groups $H_*(X)$ and the homomorphism β_X with the homomorphism

$$\alpha_X: \text{End}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{End}(H_k(X)), \quad f \mapsto f_* = (H_1(f), H_2(f), H_3(f), \dots).$$

Product and weak product decompositions of H-spaces are replaced by wedge decompositions of coH-spaces, hence, theorems 2.11 and 2.13 below are replaced by one dual theorem. Moreover, if one replaces the coH-space X by a connective CW-spectrum X , the dualized argument remains the same. Observe that even though $\text{End}(X)$ is a genuine ring in case of CW-spectra, its image under α_X can be easier to understand.

Every decomposition of an H-space as a product of two non-contractible spaces $X \simeq Y \times Z$ determines a non-trivial idempotent $e = jp: X \rightarrow Y \hookrightarrow X$ in $\text{End}(X)$,

and conversely, every non-trivial idempotent $f \in \text{End}(X)$ gives rise to a non-trivial product decomposition $X \simeq \text{Tel}(f) \times \text{Tel}(f \setminus \mathbf{1}_X)$.

Definition 2.3. An H-space X is *indecomposable* if $\mathbf{0}_X$ and $\mathbf{1}_X$ are the only idempotents in $\text{End}(X)$. Moreover X is *strongly indecomposable* if $\text{End}(X)$ is a local loop near-ring.

By theorem 1.7 every strongly indecomposable H-space is indecomposable. The converse is not true: e.g. $\text{End}(S^1) = \text{End}(S^3) = \text{End}(S^7) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, so S^1, S^3 and S^7 are indecomposable H-spaces but they are not strongly indecomposable since the ring of integers is not local. The main result of this paper is that the distinction between indecomposable and strongly indecomposable disappears when one considers finite p -local spaces.

Example 2.4. In the sense of Baker and May, see [2, definition 1.1], a p -local CW-complex or spectrum X is called *atomic* if its first nontrivial homotopy group, say $\pi_{k_0}(X)$, is a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module, and a self map $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence whenever $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{k_0}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{k_0}(X)$ is an isomorphism. This notion of atomicity also appeared earlier in [6, §4]. Note that in this case $\text{End}(\pi_{k_0}(X))$ is a local ring, and the loop near-ring homomorphism $\pi_{k_0}: \text{End}(X) \rightarrow \text{End}(\pi_{k_0}(X))$ is unit-reflecting. Hence, every atomic complex X in this sense is also strongly indecomposable by theorem 1.7.

In particular, the spectra $BP, BP\langle n \rangle$ are atomic at all primes [2, examples 5.1, 5.4], suspensions $\Sigma \mathbb{C}P^\infty, \Sigma \mathbb{H}P^\infty$ are atomic at the prime 2 by [2, propositions 4.5, 5.9]. Moreover, at the prime p , there is a decomposition [19, proposition 2.2]

$$(1) \quad \Sigma \mathbb{C}P_{(p)}^\infty \simeq W_1 \vee \cdots \vee W_{p-1},$$

where the nonzero integral homology groups of W_r are $\tilde{H}_{2k+1}(W_r) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for $k \equiv r \pmod{p-1}$. By [2, proposition 5.9] the suspension spectra $\Sigma^\infty W_r$ are atomic, hence strongly indecomposable by dual reasoning in view of remark 2.2. The loop near-ring homomorphism $\Sigma^\infty: \text{End}(W_r) \rightarrow \text{End}(\Sigma^\infty W_r)$ is unit-reflecting, so the coH-spaces W_r are also strongly indecomposable. Therefore, the \vee -decomposition (1) is unique by the dual of theorem 2.9 below.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be an H-space and let $f \in \text{End}(X)$ be an idempotent. Then $f = \mathbf{0}_X$ if and only if $\beta_X(f) = 0$.*

Proof. It is the ‘if’ part that requires a proof. Assume $\beta_X(f) = 0$ and let g solve the equation $g + f = \mathbf{1}_X$ in $\text{End}(X)$. Then $\beta_X(g) = 1$, so g is a homotopy equivalence by proposition 2.1. Using right distributivity in $\text{End}(X)$ we obtain $f = (g + f)f = gf + f$. Canceling f we get $gf = \mathbf{0}_X$, hence $f = \mathbf{0}_X$, since g is a homotopy equivalence. \square

Lemma 2.5 combined with theorem 1.7 yields the following detection principle.

Proposition 2.6. *Let X be an H-space.*

- (i) *X is indecomposable if and only if the ring $\text{im } \beta_X$ contains no proper non-trivial idempotents.*
- (ii) *X is strongly indecomposable if and only if the ring $\text{im } \beta_X$ is local.*

Let X_i be H-spaces, set $X := \prod_{i \in I} X_i$, and equip X with the H-space structure induced by the X_i . Then $\text{End}(X) = [X, X] = \prod_{i \in I} [X, X_i]$ as loops. Denote by $e_i \in \text{End}(X)$ the idempotent $j_i p_i: X \rightarrow X_i \hookrightarrow X$ corresponding to the factor X_i .

As a loop, $[X, X_i]$ is naturally isomorphic to $e_i \text{End}(X)$, the isomorphism being given by $[X, X_i] \rightarrow e_i \text{End}(X)$, $f \mapsto j_i f$. Therefore $\text{End}(X) \cong \prod_{i \in I} e_i \text{End}(X)$. Setting $A := \text{im } \beta_X$, it is easily seen that $A = \prod_{i \in I} e_{i\#} A$, not only as abelian groups, but also as right A -modules. We shall exploit this fact on multiple occasions, as it translates a decomposition problem of an H-space into a (seemingly) more manageable decomposition problem of a module.

Remark 2.7. More can be said. The loop $[X, X_i]$ has a natural right action of the loop near-ring $\text{End}(X)$ given by composition

$$[X, X_i] \times \text{End}(X) \rightarrow [X, X_i], (f, h) \mapsto fh.$$

Naturality of the addition on $[X, X_i]$ implies that $(f + g)h = fh + gh$ holds, i.e. this action is right distributive over $+$ and makes $[X, X_i]$ into an $\text{End}(X)$ -comodule (see [5, definition 13.2]). The isomorphism $[X, X_i] \cong e_i \text{End}(X)$ is then an isomorphism of right $\text{End}(X)$ -comodules. Of course, once the functor π_* is applied to $\text{End}(X) = \prod_{i \in I} [X, X_i]$, we obtain the aforementioned identification of right A -modules $A = \prod_{i \in I} e_{i\#} A$.

The next technical lemma draws a tight relation between certain retracts of X and corresponding summands of the right A -module A .

Lemma 2.8. *Assume that Z and Z' are retracts of an H-space X , with Z strongly indecomposable. Set $A := \text{im } \beta_X$, and let $e_{\#} = (jp)_{\#}$ and $e'_{\#} = (j'p')_{\#}$ be the idempotents corresponding to retracts Z and Z' , respectively. Then Z and Z' are homotopy equivalent spaces if and only if $e_{\#} A$ and $e'_{\#} A$ are isomorphic right A -modules.*

Proof. Suppose $Z \simeq Z'$. Pick a homotopy equivalence $v: Z \rightarrow Z'$ with homotopy inverse $v^{-1}: Z' \rightarrow Z$. Consider the elements $(j'vp)_{\#}$ and $(jv^{-1}p')_{\#}$ in the ring A . Note that $(jv^{-1}p')_{\#}(j'vp)_{\#} = e_{\#}$ and $(j'vp)_{\#}(jv^{-1}p')_{\#} = e'_{\#}$. For any idempotent $f_{\#} \in A$ left multiplication by $f_{\#}$ is the identity of the right A -module $f_{\#} A$. It follows that left multiplication by $(j'vp)_{\#}$ is an endomorphism of the right A -module A , which maps $e_{\#} A$ isomorphically onto the submodule $e'_{\#} A$. Hence, $e_{\#} A \cong e'_{\#} A$.

For the reverse implication, observe that $e_{\#} A e_{\#}$ and $\text{im } \beta_Z$ are isomorphic as rings, the latter ring being local by proposition 2.6. Since $e_{\#} A \cong e'_{\#} A$ as right A -modules, the idempotents $e_{\#}$ and $e'_{\#}$ are conjugate in A , i.e. $e'_{\#} = u_{\#}^{-1} e_{\#} u_{\#}$ for some unit $u_{\#} \in U(A)$, see [16, exercise 21.16]. Now form the composed maps

$$\begin{aligned} g &= pu_j': Z' \hookrightarrow X \rightarrow X \rightarrow Z \\ \text{and } h &= p'u^{-1}j: Z \hookrightarrow X \rightarrow X \rightarrow Z', \end{aligned}$$

and verify that gh and hg induce the identity endomorphisms of the respective homotopy groups. Therefore, $Z \simeq Z'$. \square

Finite product decompositions of H-spaces behave nicely, as one is tempted to suspect from the module case.

Theorem 2.9. *Assume that an H-space X admits a (finite) product decomposition $X \simeq X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ into strongly indecomposable factors X_i . Then:*

- (i) *Any indecomposable retract Z of X is strongly indecomposable. Moreover, there is an index i such that $Z \simeq X_i$.*
- (ii) *If $X \simeq X'_1 \times \cdots \times X'_m$ is any other decomposition of X into indecomposable factors X'_k , then $m = n$, and there is a permutation φ such that $X_i \simeq X'_{\varphi(i)}$ holds for all i .*

Proof. Set $A := \text{im } \beta_X$. A retraction $p: X \rightarrow Z$ and its right inverse $j: Z \hookrightarrow X$ determine an idempotent $f_\# = (jp)_\#$ in the ring A . Also, we have idempotents $e_{i\#} = (j_i p_i)_\# \in A$ and $e'_{k\#} = (j'_k p'_k)_\# \in A$ corresponding to the factors X_i and X'_k respectively. Viewing A as a right A -module, we see that (i) $f_\# A$ is a direct summand of A , and (ii) A admits two direct-sum decompositions

$$A = e_{1\#} A \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{n\#} A = e'_{1\#} A \oplus \cdots \oplus e'_{m\#} A,$$

The theorem will now follow almost directly from its algebraic analogues:

- (i) By [8, lemma 2.11] $f_\# A$ has a local endomorphism ring. Moreover, $f_\# A$ is isomorphic to some $e_{i\#} A$. Since $\text{End}_A(f_\# A) \cong f_\# A f_\# \cong \text{im } \beta_Z$ as rings, Z is strongly indecomposable by proposition 2.6. Hence, by lemma 2.8, $Z \simeq X_i$.
- (ii) By proposition 2.6 the A -modules $e_{i\#} A$ are indecomposable with local endomorphism rings, and, the A -modules $e'_{k\#} A$ are indecomposable. By the Krull–Schmidt–Remak–Azumaya theorem [8, theorem 2.12] there is a bijection $\varphi: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $e_{i\#} A$ and $e'_{\varphi(i)\#} A$ are isomorphic right A -modules. Now use lemma 2.8 to conclude $X_i \simeq X'_{\varphi(i)}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. \square

We will use the proof above as a prototypical example of use of lemma 2.8 to deduce uniqueness of H-space decompositions from uniqueness of module decompositions. The Krull–Schmidt–Remak–Azumaya theorem for modules, however, is a statement about direct-sum decompositions of modules, and is false for general, i.e. infinite, direct-product decompositions, see [9, example 2.1]. The following proposition is a very special case of [9, theorem 2.4] that will be used later in this section.

Proposition 2.10. *Let R be a proper subring of the rationals, A an R -algebra, and $\{M_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{N_k : k \in K\}$ two countable families of indecomposable A -modules, which are finitely generated as R -modules. Assume that $\text{End}_A(M_i)$ are local rings. If there is an isomorphism $\prod_{i \in I} M_i \cong \prod_{k \in K} N_k$, then there exists a bijection $\varphi: I \rightarrow K$ such that $M_i \cong N_{\varphi(i)}$ as A -modules.*

Fix a proper subring $R \subsetneq \mathbb{Q}$. We will call a connected H-space X *R -local* if $\pi_*(X)$ is an R -module. A connected R -local H-space X is called *homotopy-finite* if $\pi_*(X)$ is finitely generated over R , it is called *of finite type* if $\pi_k(X)$ is finitely generated over R for each k .

In [13] B. Gray proves a unique decomposition theorem for finite type H-spaces in the p -complete setting, see [13, corollary 1.4]. For R -local finite type H-spaces we have the following results (theorems 2.11 and 2.13).

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{X'_k : k \in K\}$ be two families of R -local, homotopy-finite H-spaces, with all of the X_i strongly indecomposable, and all of the X'_k indecomposable. Assume that the product $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is of finite type. If the products $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ and $\prod_{k \in K} X'_k$ are homotopy equivalent, then there exists a bijection $\varphi: I \rightarrow K$ such that $X_i \simeq X'_{\varphi(i)}$ for all i .*

Remark 2.12. More often than not, the products in the above statement will not have the homotopy type of a CW-complex, even though we are assuming that the spaces X_i and X'_k are CW-complexes (or have the homotopy type of a CW-complex).

Proof. We set $X := \prod_{i \in I} X_i$, $A := \text{im } \beta_X$, and use $e_i = j_i p_i$ and $e'_k = j'_k p'_k$ to denote the idempotents in $\text{End}(X)$ corresponding to factors of each decomposition.

Then A is an R -algebra and the right A -module A admits two direct product decompositions

$$A = \prod_{i \in I} e_{i\sharp} A = \prod_{k \in K} e'_{k\sharp} A.$$

By proposition 2.6 the A -modules $e_{i\sharp} A$ are strongly indecomposable, while the A -modules $e'_{k\sharp} A$ are indecomposable.

View $e_{i\sharp} A$ as an R -submodule of $\text{Hom}_R(\pi_*(X), \pi_*(X_i))$ via the monomorphism $e_{i\sharp} A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\pi_*(X), \pi_*(X_i))$, $e_{i\sharp} f_{\sharp} \mapsto p_{i\sharp} f_{\sharp}$. Since $\pi_*(X_i)$ is finitely generated over R and X is of finite type, $\text{Hom}_R(\pi_*(X), \pi_*(X_i))$ —the R -module of graded homomorphisms $\pi_*(X) \rightarrow \pi_*(X_i)$ —is finitely generated. As R is noetherian, each $e_{i\sharp} A$ must also be finitely generated as an R -module. Similarly, each $e'_{k\sharp} A$ is also finitely generated as an R -module. Now, X of finite type forces both index sets, I and K , to be at most countable. Hence, all of the assumptions of proposition 2.10 are satisfied, so there is a bijection $\varphi: I \rightarrow K$, such that $e_{i\sharp} A$ and $e'_{\varphi(i)\sharp} A$ are isomorphic right A -modules. By lemma 2.8 we must have $X_i \simeq X'_{\varphi(i)}$ for all $i \in I$. \square

There is another decomposition of spaces often studied in homotopy category, the weak product. Let X' be the set of all points $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ with all but finitely many of the x_i equal to the base point $*_i \in X_i$. Equip the product $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ with the compactly generated topology, and let X' inherit the relative topology. We will (deliberately) use the notation $\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ for the space X' and call it the *weak product of the X_i* . Of course, X' can also be viewed as a union (direct limit) of all compactly generated *finite* products of the X_i . Hence, if all of the X_i are T_1 -spaces, there is a natural isomorphism $\pi_*(\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \pi_*(X_i)$. Also, if all of the X_i are CW-complexes, then the topology on $\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ is precisely the CW-topology.

Let $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of H-spaces with additions $\mu_i: X_i \times X_i \rightarrow X_i$. Define a map $\mu': X' \times X' \rightarrow X'$ to be the composite

$$X' \times X' = (\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i) \times (\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i) \xrightarrow{\tau} \bigoplus_{i \in I} (X_i \times X_i) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mu_i} \bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i = X',$$

where τ is the coordinate shuffle map, i.e. $\tau((x_i)_{i \in I}, (y_i)_{i \in I}) = (x_i, y_i)_{i \in I}$. Clearly, τ is well-defined. Continuity of τ is assured by equipping all the products above with the compactly generated topology. A routine exercise shows that $\mu' j'_1 \simeq \mathbf{1}_{X'} \simeq \mu' j'_2$ holds for the two inclusions $j'_1, j'_2: X' \hookrightarrow X' \times X'$. (Let $j_{i1}: X_i \hookrightarrow X_i \times X_i$ be the inclusions of the first factor, and suppose $H_i: X_i \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow X_i$ are homotopies rel $*_i$ from $\mu_i j_{i1}$ to $\mathbf{1}_{X_i}$. Consider the composite

$$H': X' \times \mathbb{I} \hookrightarrow (\prod_{i \in I} X_i) \times \mathbb{I} \xrightarrow{\tau} \prod_{i \in I} (X_i \times \mathbb{I}) \xrightarrow{\prod_{i \in I} H_i} \prod_{i \in I} X_i,$$

where $\mathbb{I} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{I}^I$ is the diagonal inclusion. Note that in fact $H'(X' \times \mathbb{I}) \subseteq X'$, since the homotopies H_i are rel $*_i$. Therefore H' is a homotopy rel $(*)_i$ from $\mu' j'_1$ to $\mathbf{1}_{X'}$. Repeat for j'_2 .) Hence, $\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ is also an H-space.

Let X_i be H-spaces, and let $X' = \bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ be the weak product of the X_i . Again, we denote by $e_i = j_i p_i$ the idempotent in $\text{End}(X')$ corresponding to the factor X_i . The functor π_* maps $\text{End}(X') = [X', X']$ into

$$\text{End}_R(\pi_*(X')) = \text{Hom}_R(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \pi_*(X_i), \pi_*(X')) = \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(\pi_*(X_i), \pi_*(X')),$$

and there is a natural identification $\text{Hom}_R(\pi_*(X_i), \pi_*(X')) \cong \text{End}_R(\pi_*(X'))_{e_{i\sharp}}$. Set $A' := \text{im } \beta_{X'}$. Restricting the decomposition above to the subring A' of $\text{End}_R(\pi_*(X'))$ we get $A' = \prod_{i \in I} A' e_{i\sharp}$ as a *left* A' -module.

We can now state the weak product version of theorem 2.11. The proof is deliberately omitted, as it uses the same argument as the proof of theorem 2.11 with the left A' -module A' in place of the right A -module A .

Theorem 2.13. *Let $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{X'_k : k \in K\}$ be two families of R -local, homotopy-finite H-spaces, with all of the X_i strongly indecomposable, and all of the X'_k indecomposable. Assume that the weak product $\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ is of finite type. If the weak products $\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ and $\bigoplus_{k \in K} X'_k$ are homotopy equivalent, then there exists a bijection $\varphi : I \rightarrow K$ such that $X_i \simeq X'_{\varphi(i)}$ for all i .*

Of course, the above uniqueness theorems say nothing about the existence of factorizations of H-spaces as products of strongly indecomposable spaces. For example if X is an H-space having the homotopy type of a finite CW-complex, then one can decompose X as a product of indecomposable factors but these factors will rarely be strongly indecomposable (unless $\text{End}(X)$ is finite). This is reflected in the well-known phenomenon that finite H-spaces often admit non-equivalent product decompositions. The situation becomes more favorable if we consider p -localizations of H-spaces. In the following section we are going to show that a p -local finite H-space is indecomposable if and only if it is strongly indecomposable. A factorization of an H-space as a product of such spaces is therefore unique. Finally, if we consider p -complete H-spaces then even the finite-dimensionality assumption may be dropped. In fact, Adams and Kuhn [1] have proved that every indecomposable p -complete H-space of finite type is *atomic*, which in particular implies, that it is strongly indecomposable. Theorem 2.9 implies that decompositions into finite products of p -complete atomic spaces are unique. For an alternative approach that works for spaces of finite type see [13, corollaries 1.4 and 1.5] or [23, theorem 4.2.14].

3. HOMOTOPY ENDOMORPHISMS OF p -LOCAL SPACES

In this section we consider p -local H-spaces and show that under suitable finiteness assumptions the indecomposability of a space implies strong indecomposability. The proof is an interesting blend of topology and algebra, since it uses non-trivial results from homotopy theory, the theory of local rings and the theory of loop near-rings. Let us say that an R -local H-space X is *finite* if it is finite-dimensional, and if its homotopy groups are finitely generated R -modules for some subring $R \leq \mathbb{Q}$. For every finite H-space X we can define the homomorphism

$$\bar{\beta}_X : \text{End}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^{\dim X} \text{End}(\pi_k(X)), \quad f \mapsto (\pi_1(f), \pi_2(f), \dots, \pi_{\dim X}(f)).$$

We will show that—when applied to a finite H-space X —the homomorphism $\bar{\beta}_X$ retains the same main features of the homomorphism β_X as described in proposition 2.1, while it has a great advantage over the latter because it maps into the ring of endomorphisms of a finitely generated module.

Proposition 3.1. *If X is a finite H-space then the homomorphism $\bar{\beta}_X$ is unit-reflecting and idempotent-lifting.*

Proof. Reflection of units follows from the Whitehead theorem, so it only remains to prove that $\bar{\beta}_X$ is idempotent lifting.

First observe that finite H-spaces are rationally elliptic, i.e. X is rationally equivalent to a finite product of Eilenberg–MacLane spaces; $X_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq K(\mathbb{Q}, n_1) \times \dots \times$

$K(\mathbb{Q}, n_t)$, see [24, section 4.4]. It follows that for all $k > \dim X$ the groups $\pi_k(X)$ are torsion and hence finite.

Let a map $f: X \rightarrow X$ be such that $\pi_k(f) = \pi_k(f)^2: \pi_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_k(X)$ for all $k \leq \dim X$, i.e. $\bar{\beta}_X(f)$ is an idempotent in $\text{im } \bar{\beta}_X$. As the groups $\pi_k(X)$ are finite for $k > \dim X$, there is an integer n such that the n -fold composite $f^n: X \rightarrow X$ induces an idempotent endomorphism $\pi_k(f)^n: \pi_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_k(X)$ for all $k \leq 2(\dim X + 1)$. If we set $\bar{f} := f^n \setminus \mathbf{1}_X$ in the loop near-ring $\text{End}(X)$, then $\pi_k(\bar{f}) = \mathbf{1}_{\pi_k(X)} - \pi_k(f)^n$ is an idempotent endomorphism of $\pi_k(X)$ for all $k \leq 2(\dim X + 1)$. It follows that the map

$$X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times X \hookrightarrow \text{Tel}(f^n) \times \text{Tel}(\bar{f})$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_k(X) \rightarrow \text{im } \pi_k(f)^n \oplus \text{im } \pi_k(\bar{f})$$

for all $k \leq 2(\dim X + 1) = \dim(\text{Tel}(f^n) \times \text{Tel}(\bar{f}))$. Hence, $X \simeq \text{Tel}(f^n) \times \text{Tel}(\bar{f})$ by the Whitehead theorem. This product decomposition determines the idempotent $e: X \rightarrow \text{Tel}(f^n) \rightarrow X$ that satisfies $\pi_k(e) = \pi_k(f)^n = \pi_k(f)$ for all $k \leq \dim X$. In other words $\bar{\beta}_X(e) = \bar{\beta}_X(f)$, therefore e is an idempotent in $\text{End}(X)$ that lifts $\bar{\beta}_X(f)$. \square

We have now prepared all the ingredients needed for the proof of the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Every indecomposable finite p -local H -space is strongly indecomposable.*

Proof. To simplify the notation, let us denote by E the ring $\prod_{k=1}^{\dim X} \text{End}(\pi_k(X))$, by A its subring $\text{im } \bar{\beta}_X$, and by $J = J(A)$ the Jacobson radical of A .

Theorem 1.7 says that in order to prove that $\text{End}(X)$ is a local loop near-ring it is sufficient to show that A is a local ring. The ring A is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module, so by [16, proposition 20.6] the quotient A/J is semisimple (i.e. a product of full-matrix rings over division rings). Therefore, we must prove that A/J has only trivial idempotents, as this would imply that A/J is a division ring, and hence that A is local. In fact, it is sufficient to prove that J is an idempotent-lifting ideal because then every non-trivial idempotent in A/J would lift to a non-trivial idempotent in A , and then along $\bar{\beta}_X$ to a non-trivial idempotent in $\text{End}(X)$, contradicting the indecomposability of X .

That J is idempotent-lifting is proved by the following argument. The ring E is semiperfect by [16, examples 23.2 and 23.4] because it is a product of endomorphism rings of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -modules. By [10, lemma 3.2] A is a subring of finite additive index in E , and so by [20, example 3.3] the radical J is idempotent-lifting, which concludes the proof. \square

Let us remark that if X is a p -local H -space whose graded homotopy group is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module (i.e. X is a homotopy-finite p -local H -space) then the above proof works with β_X in place of $\bar{\beta}_X$, and we obtain the following result as well.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a p -local H -space such that its graded homotopy group is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module. Then X is indecomposable if and only if it is strongly indecomposable.*

Remark 3.4. In case of simply-connected p -local coH-spaces (or p -local connective CW-spectra) X there is no distinction between *finite* and *homology finite* (at least up to homotopy equivalence). Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 are therefore replaced by one dual theorem. In the proof of theorem 3.2 we simply replace the homomorphism $\bar{\beta}_X$ with α_X without any additional complications. No dual of proposition 3.1 is needed.

Observe that the two versions of the theorem of Wilkerson [22] on the unique factorization of p -local H-spaces now follow as easy corollaries. In fact, every p -local H-space of finite type that is either finite-dimensional or homotopy finite-dimensional admits a decomposition as a product of indecomposable factors. By theorems 3.2 and 3.3 the factors are indeed strongly indecomposable, so by theorem 2.9 the decomposition is unique.

Example 3.5. One might wonder whether the theorems 3.2 and 3.3 remain true if we replace *finite* by *finite type* (i.e. $\pi_k(X)$ are finitely generated for all k). We know that at least in the case of CW-spectra they are false. Consider the example given by Adams and Kuhn in [1, §4]. They construct an indecomposable p -local spectrum X , such that the ring homomorphism $H_0: \text{End}(X) \rightarrow \text{End}(H_0(X))$ is unit-reflecting and its image is a ring isomorphic to

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\lambda]}{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + p)}.$$

The spectrum X has $H_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, so we can identify $\text{End}(H_0(X))$ with $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$, the ring of 2×2 matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. The image of $\text{End}(X)$ in this matrix ring is precisely the one-to-one image of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\lambda]/(\lambda^2 - \lambda + p)$ under the ring homomorphism which maps a polynomial q to the matrix $q(A)$, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -p & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Note that $\lambda^2 - \lambda + p$ is the minimal polynomial of A .) Now, A is not invertible, and neither is $I - A$, so the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\lambda]/(\lambda^2 - \lambda + p)$ cannot be local. Hence, X is an indecomposable p -local spectrum of finite type, which is not strongly indecomposable.

Adams' and Kuhn's construction of the spectrum X relies on the existence of certain elements in the stable homotopy groups of spheres (in the image of J -homomorphism) and cannot be directly applied to spaces. It remains an open question whether a similar example exists in the realm of finite type H- or coH-spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams and N. J. Kuhn. Atomic spaces and spectra. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2), 32(3):473–481, 1989.
- [2] A. J. Baker and J. P. May. Minimal atomic complexes. *Topology*, 43(3):645–665, 2004.
- [3] Hans Joachim Baues, Manfred Hartl, and Teimuraz Pirashvili. Quadratic categories and square rings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 122(1-2):1–40, 1997.
- [4] Richard Hubert Bruck. *A survey of binary systems*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. Neue Folge, Heft 20. Reihe: Gruppentheorie. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958.
- [5] James R. Clay. *Nearrings*. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992. Geneses and applications.

- [6] F. R. Cohen, J. C. Moore, and J. A. Neisendorfer. Exponents in homotopy theory. In *Algebraic topology and algebraic K-theory (Princeton, N.J., 1983)*, volume 113 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 3–34. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
- [7] C. R. Curjel. On the homology decomposition of polyhedra. *Illinois J. Math.*, 7:121–136, 1963.
- [8] Alberto Facchini. *Module theory*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 1998. Endomorphism rings and direct sum decompositions in some classes of modules, [2012 reprint of the 1998 original] [MR1634015].
- [9] Damir Franetič. A Krull-Schmidt theorem for infinite products of modules. *J. Algebra*, 407:307–315, 2014.
- [10] Damir Franetič and Petar Pavešić. H-spaces, semiperfect rings and self-homotopy equivalences. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 141(6):1263–1277, 2011.
- [11] Peter Freyd. Stable homotopy. In *Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, Calif., 1965)*, pages 121–172. Springer, New York, 1966.
- [12] Tudor Ganea. Cogroups and suspensions. *Invent. Math.*, 9:185–197, 1969/1970.
- [13] Brayton Gray. On decompositions in homotopy theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358(8):3305–3328 (electronic), 2006.
- [14] I. M. James. Multiplication on spheres. I. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8:192–196, 1957.
- [15] I. M. James. Multiplication on spheres. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 84:545–558, 1957.
- [16] T. Y. Lam. *A first course in noncommutative rings*, volume 131 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [17] H. R. Margolis. *Spectra and the Steenrod algebra*, volume 29 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1983. Modules over the Steenrod algebra and the stable homotopy category.
- [18] Carlton J. Maxson. On local near-rings. *Math. Z.*, 106:197–205, 1968.
- [19] C. A. McGibbon. Stable properties of rank 1 loop structures. *Topology*, 20(2):109–118, 1981.
- [20] Petar Pavešić. Induced liftings, exchange rings and semi-perfect algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 214(11):1901–1906, 2010.
- [21] D. Ramakotaiah and C. Santhakumari. On loop near-rings. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 19(3):417–435, 1978.
- [22] Clarence Wilkerson. Genus and cancellation. *Topology*, 14:29–36, 1975.
- [23] Kai Xu. *Algebraic Topology: Endomorphisms of Complete Spaces*. University of Aberdeen, 1991.
- [24] Alexander Zabrodsky. *Hopf spaces*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1976. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol. 22, Notas de Matemática, No. 59.

UNIVERZA V LJUBLJANI, FAKULTETA ZA RAČUNALNIŠTVO IN INFORMATIKO, VEČNA POT 113,
1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

E-mail address: `damir.franetic@fri.uni-lj.si`

UNIVERZA V LJUBLJANI, FAKULTETA ZA MATEMATIKO IN FIZIKO, JADRANSKA 19, 1111 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

E-mail address: `petar.pavesic@fmf.uni-lj.si`